

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,699	07/03/2003	Steven J. Rocci	BNWL-0006	7197	
23377 75	90 06/30/2005		EXAMINER		
	WASHBURN LLP	NGUYEN, PHUNG			
ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR 1650 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2632		

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	1			
		Application No.	<u>V</u>	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/613,699		ROCCI, STEVEN J.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Phung T. Nguyen		2632		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the	correspondence address		
THE - Extended after - If the results of the result	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, by within the statutory minimur will apply and will expire SIX ie, cause the application to be	may a reply be time of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from come ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	lanuary 2005.				
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.				
3)	<u></u>					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
_	•	awn from consideratio				
Applicat	tion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in a ction is required if the dr	abeyance. Se awing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureates the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been receive nts have been receive prity documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Applicat been receiv ).	tion No red in this National Stage		
Attachmer	nt(s)					
1) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary			
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Not	er No(s)/Mail D ice of Informal I er:	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/613,699 Page 2

Art Unit: 2632

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 28, line 3, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 25, 28, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams (U.S. Pat. 6,057,764).

Regarding claim 25: Williams discloses dynamically bypassed alarm system which includes a motion detector, in response to an authorization signal, prevents the motion detector from providing an indication of motion in a protected space to an alarm panel (fig. 1, col. 1, lines 54-63, and col. 3, lines 4-19). Williams does not show a module containing circuitry that, in response to an authorization signal, prevents the motion detector from providing an indication of

motion in a protected space to an alarm panel. Since Williams discloses an alarm system which allows authorized users to freely move throughout the protected space, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the system of Williams does include the module containing circuitry which prevents the motion detector from providing an indication of motion in a protected space to an alarm panel.

Regarding claim 28: Williams discloses wherein the circuitry comprises a first transceiver that transmits a query signal, and the authorization signal is transmitted by a second transceiver, in response to receipt of the query signal, the second transceiver being adapted to be carried by an object (col. 3, lines 9-18).

Regarding claim 30: Williams inherently discloses wherein the circuitry is battery Powered as shown in figure 1.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 26, 27, and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-24, and 31 are allowed.

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung T Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2968 The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:30pm Mon thru. Friday, with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax numbers for the organization

Application/Control Number: 10/613,699

Art Unit: 2632

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-308-9051 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Phung Nguyen

Date: June 15, 2005

Page 4